Journal of Thermal Analysis, Vol. 40 (1993) 29-40

# Earth Sciences

## DETECTION OF AMMONIUM IN GEOLOGICAL MATERIALS BY EVOLVED GAS ANALYSIS

## S. Inglethorpe and D. J. Morgan

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, KEYWORTH, NOTTINGHAM NG12 5GG, UK

The association of anomalously high levels of ammonium with both metallic and energy mineral deposits is of potential use in mineral exploration. Conventional geochemical methods for detecting NH4 often provide only whole-rock NH4 values and do not identify the specific minerals hosting NH4. They may also lack sensitivity or be prone to interference. Evolved NH3 analysis is shown to be capable of distinguishing between different NH4-bearing minerals and can detect NH4 values down to 120 ppm in rocks hosting silver-gold vein mineralization. Fully quantitative determination of NH4 by this method is not possible as the NH3 evolved from minerals during heating partially oxidised; however, amounts of evolved NH3 do show a moderate positive linear correlation with NH4 content determined by a modified Kjeldahl method.

Keywords: evolved gas analysis, geological materials, IR, NH4-bearing minerals

#### Introduction

Interest in the distribution of ammonium in geological materials has centred on anomalously high concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub> associated with host rocks of precious and base-metal mineralisation [1-4], coal and oil shale deposits [5, 6], NH<sub>4</sub> minerals and nitrogen-rich fluid inclusions in very low-grade metamorphic rocks [7], and NH<sub>4</sub> as an indicator of organic maturation and hydrocarbon generation [8].

The NH<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> ion has a tetrahedral structure with an ionic 'radius' of 1.48 Å and similar geochemical behaviour to Group I trace elements Rb<sup>+</sup> and Cs<sup>+</sup>. Because of this, it substitutes for K<sup>+</sup> in silicate and sulphate minerals, including NH<sub>4</sub>-feldspar

(buddingtonite) [5, 9–11], NH<sub>4</sub>-mica (tobelite) [12], NH<sub>4</sub>-illite [2], NH<sub>4</sub>-alunite [13] and NH<sub>4</sub>-jarosite [14].

A number of analytical methods have been used to detect  $NH_4$  in rocks and minerals. Infrared (IR) spectroscopy [10] is subject to potential interference from carbonates [15]; it is reported that remote sensing of  $NH_4$  by IR is only sensitive at  $NH_4$  concentrations > 900 ppm [16]. The Kjeldahl wet chemical method is probably the most widely used, but  $NH_4$  values do vary depending on the dissolution procedure [17]. C-H-N analysis is used to determine total N content [1], but is considered to be suitable only for samples containing > 3000 ppm  $NH_4$  [17].

Evolved gas analysis (EGA) has not been widely used as a geochemical technique. However, Roche *et al.* [15] demonstrated the usefulness of pyrolysis-mass spectrometry determinations of NH<sub>4</sub> as a lithochemical indicator of mineralisation, and Shepherd *et al.* [18] suggested that EGA has potential for identifying subtle zones of hydrothermal alteration adjacent to vein mineralisation not evident from trace element indicators.

The aims of the present investigation were to (i) examine the evolution of  $NH_3$  induced by heating  $NH_4$ -bearing minerals and rocks; (ii) discover if  $NH_3$  evolution peaks are diagnostic for specific ammonium host minerals, and (iii) compare the sensitivity of EGA with that of the Kjeldahl method for determining  $NH_4$ .

#### Samples

Four ammonium minerals were examined in which NH<sup>4</sup> has completely substituted for K<sup>+</sup>; these minerals contain between 3.8 and 7.0% NH<sub>4</sub>. A batch of samples adjacent to a silvergold deposit at El Cubo mine, Guanajuato, Mexico containing 120–1000 ppm NH<sub>4</sub>, were also analysed to examine the effectiveness of the method in an exploration context.

#### Ammonium minerals

#### Buddingtonite [NH4AlSi3O8 · 1/2H2O]

This is the NH<sub>4</sub> analogue of monoclinic microcline feldspar from the type locality, Hg-bearing springs, Sulphur Bank, California [9, 10]. The sample contains minor pyrite; the  $\frac{1}{2}$  H<sub>2</sub>O has been attributed to montmorillonite impurity [11].

#### Synthetic NH4-illite [ideal formula NH4Al2(Si3,Al)O10(OH)2]

This sample has been described by Krohn & Altaner [10]. NH<sub>4</sub>-illite has also been noted at the Lik stratiform exhalative base metal (Pb-Zn-Hg) deposit hosted in Mississipian black shales and cherts in the De Long mountains of NW Alaska [2], and in mudrocks in the anthracite/semi-anthracite coal measures of NE Pennsylvania [6], where co-existing mineral assemblages and coal rank suggest a very low-grade metamorphic environment.

### NH4-alunite [(NH4)0.92K0.02Na0.02Al2.88(SO4)2.00(OH)6]

This sample is from hot sprigs at the 'Geysers', Sonoma County, California [13].

#### NH4-jarosite [ideal formula (NH4)Fe<sub>3</sub>(SO4)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub>]

This sample comes from solution hollows at the junction of the Upper Chalk with overlying Tertiary sediments at Newhaven, Sussex (S Kemp, pers. comm.), and was identified from its X-ray diffraction pattern which closely matches the Joint Committee for Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) reference pattern 26-1014.

#### El Cubo mine samples

Anomalously high levels of NH<sub>4</sub> are associated with wall rocks adjancent to gold-silver mineralisation at El Cubo mine, Guanajuato, Mexico [16, 19]. The samples investigated were collected along subsurface traverses perpendicular to mineralised veins at 60, 110, 160 and 190 m below the surface (mine levels 0, 1, 2 and 4 respectively). The deposit is hosted by the Peregrina Intrusive (mine levels 0, 1 and 2) and Guanajuato Red Conglomerate (mine level 4). NH<sub>4</sub> anomalies associated with silver deposits of the region are often broader than anomalies from other major and trace element indicators, and show a more consistent relationship to the vein mineralisation [16, 19].

## Methods

The full EGA system has been described previously [20]; some modifications to procedure were made for the present investigation. Samples were heated in a tube furnace at 50 deg min<sup>-1</sup> and volatiles transported by a carrier gas flowing at 300 ml·min<sup>-1</sup> to non-dispersive infrared detectors for NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and SO<sub>2</sub> arranged in series. The carrier gas was either N<sub>2</sub>, or a 2:1 mixture of N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. Variations in evolved volatile concentrations in the carrier gas were continuously monitored on a multi-channel chart recorder against sample temperature. The mass of H<sub>2</sub>O released was calculated by comparing its peak area with that of the H<sub>2</sub>O evolution peak of sodium bicarbonate; the mass of NH<sub>3</sub> released was determined using an empirical method [20].

### **Results and discussion**

#### Ammonium minerals

Volatile evolution profiles obtained for the pure NH<sub>4</sub>-minerals in N<sub>2</sub> and mixed N<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> atmospheres are shown in Fig. 1. Theoretical decomposition mechanisms for these minerals are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 contrasts the NH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O contents measured by evolved gas analysis with the theoretical values. It is apparent from the data in Table 2 that the amount of NH<sub>3</sub> detected is less than theoretically predicted, and also that the amount of H<sub>2</sub>O detected is greater.



Fig. 1a Evolved gas profiles of ammonium minerals. 20 mg samples analysed in N<sub>2</sub> (left) and mixed N<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (right). Key: NH<sub>3</sub> - solid line, H<sub>2</sub>O - dashed line, SO<sub>2</sub> - dotted line



Fig. 1b Evolved gas profiles of ammonium minerals. 20 mg samples analysed in N<sub>2</sub> (left) and mixed N<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (right). Key: NH<sub>3</sub> - solid line, H<sub>2</sub>O - dashed line, SO<sub>2</sub> - dotted line

It is likely that the partial oxidation of evolved  $NH_3$  is responsible for these discrepancies. TGA-MS studies of  $NH_4VO_3$  [21] indicate that above 300°C the evolved  $NH_3$  partially decomposes to  $H_2O$  and  $N_2$  in both oxygen and (to a lesser extent) helium atmospheres.

| 2NH <sub>3</sub> | + | 1.5 O <sub>2</sub> | $\rightarrow$ | $N_2$ | + | 3H <sub>2</sub> O |
|------------------|---|--------------------|---------------|-------|---|-------------------|
| 1M               |   |                    |               | 0.5M  |   | 1.5M              |
| 1%               |   |                    |               | 0.8%  |   | 1.6%              |

i.e. every 1% of NH<sub>3</sub> oxidised produces 1.6% water vapour

34

| Table 1 Th | eoretical decomposition              | reactions for ammoniun | n minerals indicating | stoichiometry | of NH3 and |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------|
| ]          | H <sub>2</sub> O evolution, assuming | idealised mineral comp | ositions              |               |            |

| BUDDINGTONITE [22]              |  |               |     |   |                     |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------|-----|---|---------------------|
| Silicate-bound ammonium:        | <sup>1</sup> /2[(NH <sub>4</sub> )2–O]                                     | $\rightarrow$ | NH3 | + | $0.5H_2O$           |
| Overall reaction:               | NH4AlSi3O8   | $\rightarrow$ | NH3 | + | 0.5H <sub>2</sub> O |
| Molar proportions:              | 1M   |               | 1 M |   | 0.5M                |
| Mass proportions / %:           | (100%)   |               | 6.6 |   | 3.5                 |
| NH4–ILLITE [22]                 |  |               |     |   |                     |
| Silicate-bound ammonium:        | <sup>1</sup> /2[(NH <sub>4</sub> )2–O]                                     | $\rightarrow$ | NH3 | + | 0.5H2O              |
| Hydroxyl water release:         | [Al <sub>2</sub> (Si <sub>3</sub> , Al)O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ] | $\rightarrow$ |     |   | $H_2O$              |
| Overall reaction:               |  | $\rightarrow$ | NH3 | + | 1.5H2O              |
| Molar proportions:              | 1 <b>M</b>   |               | 1 M |   | 1.5M                |
| Mass proportions / %:           | (100%)   |               | 4.5 |   | 7.2                 |
| NH4-ALUNITE                     | 1  |               |     |   |                     |
| Assuming release of hydroxyl wa | ater is analogous to that of aluni   | te:           |     |   |                     |
| Reaction:                       | NH4Al3(SO4)2(OH)6  | $\rightarrow$ | NH3 | + | 3H2O                |
| Molar proportions:              | 1 <b>M</b>   |               | 1 M |   | 3M                  |
| Mass proportions / %:           | (100%)   |               | 4.6 |   | 13.8                |
| NH4-JAROSITE                    |  |               |     |   |                     |
| Assuming release of hydroxyl wa | ater is analogous to that of jaros   | ite:          |     |   |                     |
| Reaction:                       | NH4Fe3(SO4)2(OH)6  | $\rightarrow$ | NH3 | + | 3H2O                |
| Molar proportions:              | 1 <b>M</b>   |               | 1M  |   | 3M                  |
| Mass proportions / %:           | (100%)   |               | 3.6 |   | 11.3                |

If the difference between experimentally determined  $NH_3$  and theoretical  $NH_3$  content ( $NH_3$  'missing' in Table 2, column G) is converted to  $H_2O$  from oxidation (column H), the value obtained corresponds closely with the difference between the experimental and theoretical  $H_2O$  content ( $H_2O$  'excess' in column I).

The distinctive NH<sub>3</sub> release patterns obtained from EGA can be used to identify different NH<sub>4</sub>- minerals (Fig. 2), but the determination of NH<sub>4</sub> content is only semi-quantitative due to partial oxidation of evolved NH<sub>3</sub> to N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. However, detection of NH<sub>3</sub> is enhanced by analysis in an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere as this generally suppresses NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation.

| mple        | XRD analysis                       |                          | Evolved                    | Kjeldahl         |
|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
|             | (1) Major mineral phases           | (2) Minor mineral phases | NH3 peak area / area units | NH4 content /ppm |
| -           | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Chlorite, mica           | 505                        | 202              |
| -           | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Chlorite, mica           | 1217                       | 448              |
| ∢           | Quartz, K-feldspar, Plag.feldspar  | Mica                     | 921                        | 298              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Chlorite, mica           | 96                         | 157              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Chlorite, mica           | 114                        | 121              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica                     | 114                        | 174              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica, ?calcite           | 347                        | 286              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica                     | 759                        | 619              |
|             | Quartz, K-feldspar, plag.feldspar  | Mica, chlorite           | 1639                       | 1110             |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica, chlorite           | 607                        | 722              |
| A           | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica                     | 65                         | 105              |
| A           | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica, chlorite           | 355                        | 316              |
| A           | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica, chlorite           | 630                        | 644              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Chlorite, mica           | 435                        | 342              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica                     | 597                        | 224              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Chlorite, mica           | 87                         | 122              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Chlorite, mica           | 396                        | 309              |
| 4           | Quartz, plag. feldspar             | Chlorite                 | 63                         | 215              |
| A           | Quartz, plag. feldspar             | Chlorite, mica           | 805                        | 322              |
| ۷           | Quartz, plag. feldspar             | Chlorite                 | 523                        | 154              |
| ¥           | Quartz, plag. feldspar             | Chlorite, mica           | 348                        | 263              |
| 2           | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Smectite, mica           | 723                        | 287              |
| <del></del> | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Mica                     | 482                        | 214              |
|             | Quartz, plag. feldspar, K-feldspar | Smectite, mica           | 382                        | 354              |

Table 3 El Cubo samples: mineralogy, evolved NH3 peak area and NH4 content by a modified Kjeldahl method

35



Fig. 2 NH3 evolution profiles of ammonium minerals. 20 mg samples analysed in N2 atmosphere

## El Cubo mine samples

Evolved NH<sub>3</sub> profiles of the El Cubo samples are shown in Fig. 3. Most of the samples evolve NH<sub>3</sub> as a sharp near-symmetric peak between 650° and 785°C; several samples also evolve NH<sub>3</sub> below 600°C (marked in bold in Fig. 3).

Whole-rock X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis indicated that the samples are composed predominantly of quartz, K-feldspar and plagioclase feldspar, with minor chlorite and mica (Table 3). Possible host minerals for NH<sub>4</sub> are K-feldspar (XRD main line at 3.24 Å) and mica (XRD  $d_{001}$  at 10 Å). Statistical analysis indicated a weak positive correlation between NH<sub>4</sub> concentration and 3.24 Å XRD intensity (correlation coefficient 0.32), and also with 10 Å XRD intensity (correlation coefficient 0.46), but did not conclusively indicate which mineral was hosting NH<sub>4</sub>.

A graph of 'evolved NH<sub>3</sub> peak area' vs. 'NH<sub>4</sub> content by a modified Kjeldahl method' is shown in Fig. 4. A moderate positive linear correlation is evident (correlation coefficient 0.66). This suggest that the EGA data are sufficiently sensitive to differences in NH<sub>4</sub> content for semi-quantitative classification of samples.

| Ť          |
|------------|
| 5          |
| ŭ          |
| . Е        |
| -          |
| 8          |
| . <u>e</u> |
| g          |
| 2          |
| 8          |
| E E        |
| 5          |
| ō          |
| 5          |
| Ĥ          |
| 5          |
| E          |
| 8          |
| ~          |
| Ë.         |
| ati        |
| - TC       |
| ž          |
| -          |
| 3          |
| . <u>च</u> |
| 2          |
| 8          |
| Ă          |
| 1          |
| ¥          |
| Ъ.         |
| 2          |
| g          |
| a di       |
| 5          |
| õ          |
| S          |
| -          |
| S          |
| 2          |
| 2          |
| 2          |
| -Fi        |
| 03         |
| 3          |
| ST         |
| 60         |
| φ          |
| õ          |
| ź          |
| 2          |
| ш          |
| 2          |
| 9          |
| -          |

|               |                | •                                 |                           |  |                           |   |                                     |   |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| A             | 8              | ບ                                 | D                         | ш  | ц                         | U   | Н                                   | Ι   |
| Mineral       | Atmos.         | Theoretical<br>NH3 content /<br>% | EGA<br>NH3 content /<br>% | Theoretical<br>H <sub>2</sub> O content /<br>% | EGA<br>H2O content /<br>% | [= C-D]<br>NH <sub>3</sub> 'Missing' /<br>% | [= G× 1.6]<br>Equivalent H2O /<br>% | [= F-E]<br>H <sub>2</sub> O 'Excess' /<br>% |
| Buddingtonite | N2             | 6.6                               | 2.2                       | 3.5  | 6.8                       | 3.0   | 4.8                                 | 3.3   |
| Buddingtonite | N2/02          | 6.6                               | 0.7                       | 3.5  | 10.1                      | 4.5   | 7.2                                 | 9.9   |
| NH4-illite    | $N_2$          | 4.5                               | 2.5                       | 7.2  | 11.4                      | 2.0   | 3.2                                 | 4.2   |
| NH4-illite    | N2/O2          | 4.5                               | 1.9                       | 7.2  | 12.4                      | 2.6   | 4.2                                 | 5.2   |
| NH4-alunite   | $\mathbf{N}_2$ | 4.6                               | 1.8                       | 13.8   | 17.7                      | 2.8   | 4.5                                 | 3.9   |
| NH4-alunite   | N2/02          | 4.6                               | 1.6                       | 13.8   | 17.7                      | 3.0   | 4.8                                 | 3.9   |
| NH4-jarosite  | N <sub>2</sub> | 3,6                               | 0.9                       | 11.3   | 15.3                      | 2.7   | 4.3                                 | 4.0   |
| NH4-iarosite  | N,/O,          | 3.6                               | 0.9                       | 11.3   | 16.3                      | 2.7   | 4.3                                 | 4.9   |

## INGLETHORPE, MORGAN: DETECTION OF AMMONIUM

37



Fig. 3 Evolved NH<sub>3</sub> profiles of El Cubo mine samples, Guanajuato, Mexico. 300 mg samples analysed in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere



Fig. 4 Evolved NH<sub>3</sub> peak area vs. NH<sub>4</sub> concentration determined by Kjeldahl method for samples from El Cubo mine, Guanajuato, Mexico

#### Conclusions

Recent developments in NH<sub>4</sub> geochemistry have focused on the association of anomalously high concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub> with metallic and energy mineral deposits and very low-grade metamorphic rocks. The credibility of using NH4 geochemistry in mineral exploration depends on understanding the factors controlling enrichment of NH<sub>4</sub>. At present, many studies utilise methods which: (i) provide only whole-rock NH<sub>4</sub> contents; (ii) can not identify NH<sub>4</sub> host minerals (e.g. Kjeldahl method); (iii) may lack sensitivity (e.g. C-H-N analysis); (iv) are prone to interference from other minerals (e.g. infrared spectroscopy). The present investigation demonstrates that evolved NH<sub>3</sub> analysis is capable of distinguishing between several NH<sub>4</sub> minerals (buddingtonite, NH<sub>4</sub>-illite, NH<sub>4</sub>-alunite and NH<sub>4</sub>-jarosite) normally associated with hydrothermal activity and mineralisation, and is sensitive to low-to-trace concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub> (1110–120 ppm levels) in the host rocks of a gold-silver deposit. The method is not fully quantitative because evolved NH<sub>3</sub> partially oxidises to  $N_2$  and  $H_2O$ ; however, amounts of NH<sub>3</sub> evolved do show a moderate positive linear correlation with NH<sub>4</sub> content determined by a modified Kjeldahl method.

\* \* \*

The authors are grateful to the following for contributing materials and advice: Prof. Stephen Altaner of the University of Illinois for supplying the buddingtonite, NH4-illite and NH4-alunite samples; Dr John Ridgway of the British Geological Survey for providing the initial impetus for this investigation and also for supplying the samples from El Cubo mine, and finally Don Bradley of the British Geological Survey for NH4 analyses (Kjeldahl method) and helpful conversations. This paper is published by permission of the Director, British Geological Survey (Natural Environment Research Council).

#### References

- 1 R. A. Kydd and A. A. Levinson, Appl. Geochem., 1 (1986) 407.
- 2 E. J. Sterne, R. C. Reynolds and H. Zantop, Clays Clay Miner., 30 (1982) 161.
- 3 L. B. Williams, H. Zantop and R. C. Reynolds, J. Geochem. Explor., 27 (1987) 125.
- 4 J. Ridgway, J. D. Appleton and A. A. Levinson, Appl. Geochem., 5 (1990) 475.
- 5 F. C. Loughnan, F. Ivor Roberts and A. W. Lindner, Miner. Mag., 47 (1983) 327.
- 6 T. C. Juster, P. E. Brown and S. W. Bailey, Am. Miner., 72 (1987) 555.
- 7 S. H. Bottrel, L. P. Carr and J. Dubessy, Miner. Mag., 52 (1988) 451.
- 8 L. B. Williams and R. E. Ferrel, Clays Clay Miner., 39 (1991) 400.
- 9 R. C. Erd, D. E. White, J. J. Fahey and D. E. Lee, Am. Miner 49 (1964) 831.
- 10 M. D. Krohn and S. P. Altaner, Geophysics, 52 (1987) 924.
- 11 J. H. L. Voncken, Geologica Ultraiectina., 65 (1990) 23. [ISSN 0072-1026].
- 12 S. Higashi, J. Miner (1982) 138.
- 13 S. P. Altaner, J. J. Fitzpatrick, M. D. Krohn, P. M. Bethake, D. O. Hayba, J. A. Goss and Z. A. Brown, Am. Miner., 73 (1988) 145.

- 14 E. V. Shannon, Am. Miner., 12 (1927) 424.
- 15 R. S. Roche, D. R. Salomon and A. A. Levinson, Appl. Geochem., 1 (1986) 619.
- 16 J. Ridgway, Rep. Brit. Geol. Surv., WC/91/41 (1991).
- 17 A. D. Bradley, B. P. Vickers. D. Peachey and A. A. Levinson, Appl. Geochem., 5 (1990) 471.
- 18 T. J. Shepherd *et al.*, Final report (1) to the CEC [contract No. MA1M-0027-UK]. British Geological Survey, Nottingham NG12 5GG, UK. 1990, p. 109.
- 19 J. Ridgway, B. Martiny, A. Gomez-Cabellero, C. Macias-Romo and M. G. Villasenor-Cabral, J. Geochem. Explor., 40 (1991) 311.
- 20 D. J. Morgan, J. Thermal Anal., 12 (1977) 245.
- 21 U. Von Sacken and J. R. Dahn, J. Power Sources., 26 (1989) 461.
- 22 J. H. L. Voncken, R. J. M. Konings, J. B. H. Jansen and C. F. Woensdregt, Phys. Chem. Miner., 15 (1988) 323.

Zusammenfassung — Die Assoziation von ungewöhnlich hohen Ammoniakpegeln mit sowohl Metall- als auch Energieminerallagerstätten ist ein potentielles Mittel bei der Mineralerforschung. Übliche geochemische Methoden zur Detektion von NH4 liefern oft nur Vollgestein NH4 Werte und identifizieren nicht die einzelnen NH4 Wirtsminerale. Es fehlt ihnen auch an Empfindlichkeit oder sie neigen zu Überlappung. Es wurde gezeigt, daß die Bestimmung freigesetzten Ammoniaks die Unterscheidung verschiedener NH4-haltiger Minerale ermöglicht und in Gestein mit Silber-Goldadermineralisation NH4-Werte bis hinab zu 120 ppm bestimmen kann. Ein vollquantitative Bestimmung von NH4 ist mit Hilfe dieser Methode nicht möglich, da ein Teil des aus dem Mineral freigesetztem NH3 beim Erhitzen oxidiert wird; auf alle Fälle zeigt die freigesetzte NH3-Menge eine mäßige positive Korrelation mit dem mit Hilfe einer modifizierten Kjeldahl-Methode bestimmten NH4-Gehalt.